



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/839,784	04/20/2001	Marco A. DeMello	MSFT-0262/155698.1	1999	
27372	7590 05/02/2005		EXAMINER		
WOODCOCK WASHBURN KURTZ			TANG, KUO LIANG J		
	CZ & NORRIS LLP : STEVEN J. ROCCI, ESO	).	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ONE LIBERTY PLACE, 46TH FLOOR			2191		
PHILADELPH	HIA, PA 19103		DATE MAIL ED: 05/02/2009	DATE MAILED: 05/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/839,784	DEMELLO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kuo-Liang J. Tang	2191	

zerere ure i ming er am Appear zirer	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kuo-Liang J. Tang	2191				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT		="				
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	late of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on		\ and the engraprists exte	naion foo bara			
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	and the corresponding amount of the fee.  atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	f will not be entered l	hecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below):	because			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		. = 50.01.7,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei		educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
1. Claims 1, 15, 28 and 29 have been amended wand/or search.	hich would raise new issues that w	ould require further o	consideration			
2. In Claim 1, line 1 (E.g. page 2), Claim 15, line 1 (E.g. page 4), Claim 28, line 1 (E.g. page 6) and Claim 29, line (E.g. page 6) the "(Previously Presented)" should be corrected as "(Currently Amended)".						
(See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendm	ent canceling			
<ul> <li>7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro         The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ul>	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:	•					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30</u> .	•					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
	A 1-6 41 - 1 4 - 6600 A					
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	it or other evidence i	s necessary			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu		n condition for allowa auguation				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper i	Vo(s)	11			
13. Other:	• •	ANTONIVAN	NIVEN_P4			

PRIMARY EXAMIN

Application No.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050421